

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants provisionally elect Claims 1-7, but also respectfully traverse the Restriction Requirement in light of the present amendment to Claims 1-6, 8-10, 13-14 and 16-17. As can be seen from the amended claims, each of the claims in some is directed in some fashion to a glass for a touch panel: Claims 1-7 are directed to a glass for a touch panel; Claims 8-12 are directed to a method for cutting a glass plate for a touch panel; Claims 13-15 are directed to a touch panel having a glass substrate; and Claims 16-17 are directed to a portable terminal with a touch panel having a glass substrate.

In view of the Amendment to the pending claims, it is respectfully submitted that it is appropriate for examination to be conducted on all of Claims 1-17, as each of the claims in some way is directed to a glass for a touch panel, thereby requiring overlapping search areas. However, if the Examiner disagrees, it is respectfully submitted that examination on the merits of elected Claims 1-7 be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)